

## Gene Editing: Scientific Basis and Clinical Potential

*Kyriel Pineault Research Associate, Imperial College London* 

#### Chaired by Uta Griesenbach

Professor of Molecular Medicine, Imperial College London President of the British Society for Gene and Cell Therapy

#### Funded by





### Who are LAT and the ATTCs?



Advanced Therapy Treatment Centres

The ATTC (Advanced Therapy Treatment Centre) network is funded by Innovate UK and the Industrial Strategy Challenge Fund

London Advanced Therapies (LAT) is funded by Research England

The centres are working together, along with the Cell and Gene Therapy Catapult to specifically look at the training requirements for the current workforce and what needs to be put in place for them to be ready to deliver the treatments that are currently being developed.

This series of webinars is designed to help increase the awareness of advanced therapies and their impact in the clinic

Find out more at https://www.theattcnetwork.co.uk/





## Gene Editing: Scientific Basis and Clinical Potential

Dr. Kyriel Pineault 2021-02-09

## Learning Objectives

Introduction to gene editing systems

Current clinical landscape

- Diseases targets
- Safety
- Ethics

□ Future perspective

## Gene Editing vs. Gene Therapy

Disease X





## Gene Editing vs. Gene Therapy

#### **Gene Therapy**



Introduction of genetic material to compensate for an abnormal gene or to produce a beneficial protein



Targeted manipulation of genomic material to add, remove, or alter the sequence

## Gene editing strategy design

### Disease targets

- 'Single fix' treatment requires a one modification
- Clear cell/organ target

Gene editing system

## Gene Editing Systems



## DNA Repair and Gene editing



### Gene editing strategy design

### Disease targets

- 'Single fix' treatment requires a one modification
- Clear cell/organ target

Gene editing system

Delivery system

- Viral (Adenovirus, AAV, and Lentivirus)
- Non-viral (nano particles, lipid particles, electroporation, etc.)



## **Delivery Strategy**

### **Advantages**

### Ex Vivo

- Precise control over edited cell type
- Quality control

### In Vivo

- Broader potential cell targets
- Cell culture not required

### Disadvantages

### Ex Vivo

- Limited cell type options
- Culturing stem cells can change cell properties

### In Vivo

- Low efficiency
- No quality control

## **Current Clinical Landscape**



## Case Study 1: HIV-1 infection treatment (ex vivo)

The 'Berlin Patient' (Timothy Ray Brown)

□HIV positive individual diagnosed with acute myeloid leukaemia

2007 he received a hematopoietic stem cell transplant from a donor with a homozygous CCR5 mutation



□ Following transplant, patient was functionally disease free

## Autologous HIV-infection resistant T cells

#### First gene editing study initiated in 2009

University of Philadelphia and Sangamo Biosciences



## Future directions in HIV therapy

Phase 1			Phase 2	
Primary objective: Safety	Yes, minimal adverse events		Objectives	Tolerability Efficacy
Engraftment	Yes, low levels	$\rightarrow$	Strategy	T cells HSC (stem cells)
Viral load	No clear effect		Genotoxicity	Long-term follow-up
Genotoxicity	None reported	· · ·		
Tebas, P.	et al. N Engl J Med (2014)			

## Case Study 2: allogenic CAR T-cells (ex vivo)

Building on the success of the recently approved gene therapy, CAR T-cell products Kymriah (Novartis) and Yescarta (Kite Pharma)



## Universal (off-the-shelf) allogenic CAR T-cells



- 1. Deletion of T cell receptor (TCR)
  - Prevention of GvHD
- 2. Disrupt major histocompatibility complex (MHC)
  - Prevent transplant rejection

### Universal CD19 CAR T cells for B cell leukaemia

### 2015 Study (compassionate use)

Great Ormond Street Hospital, UCL, Cellectis

2 infants with relapsed refractory B-ALLSingle dose of UCART19



- Minor GvHD reaction
- UCART19 cells persist
- Disease remission



Case Study 3: Congenital blindness (in vivo)

Leber congenital amaurosis 10



### First in vivo gene editing with CRISPR/Cas

In vivo, AAV delivery of Cas9 + 2 gRNAs

**Editas Medicine** 

- Phase 1 trial, 2019
  - Study planned to last through 2024
  - Adult and paediatric study arms



### Safety: Edits Gone Wrong



## Chinese Scientist Claims to Use Crispr to Make First Genetically Edited Babies

The researcher, He Jiankui, offered no evidence or data to back up his assertions. If true, some fear the feat could open the door to "designer babies."

NEWS · 28 NOVEMBER 2018 · CORRECTION 30 NOVEMBER 2018

## **CRISPR-baby scientist fails to satisfy** critics

He Jiankui gives talk about controversial claim of genome editing babies, but ethical questions remain.

NEWS · 26 NOVEMBER 2018

## Genome-edited baby claim provokes international outcry

The startling announcement by a Chinese scientist represents a controversial leap in the use of genome editing.

## 'CRISPR babies' are still too risky, says influential panel

The safety and efficacy of genome editing in human embryos hasn't been proven,

researchers warn.

NEWS GENETICS

# Strict new guidelines lay out a path to heritable human gene editing

But scientists say making changes in DNA that can be passed on isn't yet safe and effective EDITORIAL • 13 MARCH 2019

## Germline gene-editing research needs rules

In the wake of CRISPR babies, there is an urgent need to better regulate and debate whether, when and how related research should be done.

### Advances in 5-10 years

#### Advancing the Field

Long-term safety data from Phase I trials

Clinical testing of next generation editing tools

#### Moving to the Clinic

- T-cells for solid tumours
- **□**Haemoglobinopathies: β-thalassemia and sickle cell disease
- Metabolic disorders: in vivo liver gene editing



Images for this presentation were created with BioRender.com

### Q&A

Please add any question you have into the Q&A box

Please fill in feedback survey, your input is really valuable to us

Next webinar,

Advanced therapy landscape

4pm 23rd February, Matthew Durdy (CEO, Cell and Gene Therapy Catapult)

Coordinated by